

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/685,384                     | 10/10/2000  | Lin He               | SP00-291                | 4601             |  |
| 22928 759                      | 03/21/2002  |                      |                         |                  |  |
| CORNING INCORPORATED           |             |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |  |
| SP-TI-3-1<br>CORNING, NY 14831 |             |                      | JOHNSON, EDWARD M       |                  |  |
| 1                              |             |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|                                |             |                      | 1754                    | $\sim$           |  |
|                                |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 03/21/2002 | $\supset$        |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |                       | 4                | •   | MER  |  |  |  |
|---|-----------------------|------------------|---|------|--|--|--|
|   | Application           | No.              | Applicant(s)  |      |  |  |  |
|   | 09/685,384            |                  | HE ET AL.   |      |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner              |                  | Art Unit  |      |  |  |  |
|   | Edward M.             |                  | 1754  |      |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |                       |                  |   |      |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status |                       |                  |   |      |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10   | October 2000          | <b>)</b> .       |   |      |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) The section is <b>FINAL</b> .   | his action is n       | on-final.        |   |      |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims   |                       |                  |   |      |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application.   |                       |                  |   |      |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra  |                       | ideration.       |   |      |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed:   |                       | -                | ·=  |      |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  |                       |                  |   |      |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |                       |                  |   |      |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) 1-41 are subject to restriction and/or  | election requi        | irement.         |   |      |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |                       |                  |   |      |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine   | er.                   |                  |   |      |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce   | epted or b) 🗌 o       | bjected to by th | e Examiner.   |      |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the   |                       | <del>_</del>     |   |      |  |  |  |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on  | _ is: a) <u> </u> app | proved b) dis    | sapproved by the Examir                                   | ner. |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |                       |                  |   |      |  |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   |                       |                  |   |      |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |                       |                  |   |      |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |                       |                  |   |      |  |  |  |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of:  |                       |                  |   |      |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |                       |                  |   |      |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documen   | ts have been          | received in Ap   | plication No  |      |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>   |                       |                  |   |      |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  |                       |                  |   |      |  |  |  |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.   |                       |                  |   |      |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |                       |                  |   |      |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ol>  |                       |                  | ummary (PTO-413) Paper No<br>formal Patent Application (P |      |  |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/685,384

Art Unit: 1754

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-34, drawn to a catalyst for converting NOx, classified in class 502, subclass 352.
  - II. Claims 35-38, drawn to a method of generating ammonia from NOx, classified in class 423, subclass 352.
  - III. Claims 39-41, drawn to a catalytic unit, classified in class 422, subclass 180.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions III and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because claim 1 requires at least one metal oxide and claim 39 requires a metal oxide. The subcombination has separate utility such as a catalyst for HC reduction or hydrogenation.

Application/Control Number: 09/685,384

Art Unit: 1754

Inventions III and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed could be used in a materially different process, such as a process for HC reduction or hydrogenation.

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP \$ 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed could be used in a materially different process, such as a process for HC reduction or hydrogenation.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, the search required for Group II is not required for Group III, and the search required for Group

Application/Control Number: 09/685,384

Art Unit: 1754

I is not required for Group III nor II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

2. A telephone call was made to Anca Gheorghiu on 3/11/02 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward M. Johnson whose telephone number is 703-305-0216. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven P. Griffin can be reached on 703-308-1164. The fax phone numbers for the

Application/Control Number: 09/685,384 Page 5

Art Unit: 1754

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

STEVEN P. GRIFFIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

EMJ March 19, 2002